



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | F          | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/801,408           | 03/16/2004 |            | Manfred Watzl        | WATZL                   | 5094             |
| 20151                | 7590       | 03/15/2006 |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |
| HENRY M<br>350 FIFTH |            | SISEN, LLC | PIPALA, EI           | PIPALA, EDWARD J        |                  |
| SUITE 4714           |            |            | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |
| NEW YORK             | K, NY 10   | 0118       | 3663                 |                         |                  |
|                      |            |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006 | 5                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(a)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Commence   | 10/801,408  | WATZL, MANFRED   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Edward Pipala   | 3663   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply  | opears on the cover sheet with  | the correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL  .136(a). In no event, however, may a rep d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ate, cause the application to become ABA | ATION.  ly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16  | <u>March 2004</u> .   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th   | ,—  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> are subject to restriction and/o   | r election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir  | ner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  | gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §   | 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bure  | au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3663

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response tot the patent application filed by Manfred Watzl on March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2004 for the invention titled "Method and Apparatus for Taking Over an Aircraft in Case of an Emergency".

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-5, drawn to a process (method of protecting aircraft), classified in class 701, subclass 9.
  - II. Claims 6-11, drawn to an apparatus (apparatus for protecting aircraft), classified in class 244, subclass 75+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus, such as those set forth in the background section of the specification (see pages 1+). In addition, the process can also be completed manually or by hand such as utilizing federal marshals to protect the plane.

Art Unit: 3663

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. <u>Upon election of invention I or II</u>, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear to be generic):
- A. The embodiment wherein the immobilizing step includes the step of exposing the persons to acoustic irradiation only.
- B. The embodiment wherein the immobilizing step includes the step of exposing the persons to electro-stimulation only.
- 5. <u>Upon election of species A or B</u>, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear to be generic):
  - a. The embodiment wherein the panic button is operated manually only.
- b. The embodiment wherein the panic button is operated via speech recognition only.
- c. The embodiment wherein the panic button is operated via a touch screen only.

Art Unit: 3663

6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Art Unit: 3663

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is further reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Pipala whose telephone number is 571-272-1360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Serial Number: 10/801,408

Art Unit: 3663

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ejp

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6